

ORIGINAL

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM

1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

FAX: (202) 393-5760

(202) 371-7000

DIRECT DIAL
(202) 371-

RECEIVED

JAN 31 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

January 31, 1995

By Hand Delivery

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

BOSTON
CHICAGO
HOUSTON
LOS ANGELES
NEWARK
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
WILMINGTON

BEIJING
BRUSSELS
BUDAPEST
FRANKFURT
HONG KONG
LONDON
MOSCOW
PARIS
PRAGUE
SYDNEY
TOKYO
TORONTO


Re: Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc.
Petition for Reconsideration
Revision of Part 22 of the Commission's Rules
CC Docket No. 92-115 *et. al.*
Reply to Comments and Oppositions

Dear Mr. Caton:

On January 30, 1995, Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc. ("CCPR") filed its *Reply to Comments and Oppositions* following from its Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's Order in the above-cited dockets. Pursuant to Section 1.49 of the Commission's Rules, CCPR herewith submits three (3) microfiche copies of its Petition.

If you have any questions concerning this filing, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,



David H. Pawlik
Counsel for Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico,
Inc.

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

0

RECEIVED

JAN 31 1995

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In the Matter of)	
)	
Revision of Part 22 of the Commission's)	CC Docket No. 92-115
Rules Governing the Public Mobile Services)	
)	
Amendment of Part 22 of the Commission's)	CC Docket No. 94-46
Rules to Delete Section 22.119 and Permit)	RM 8367
the Concurrent Use of Transmitters in)	
Common Carrier and Non-common Carrier)	
Service)	
)	
Amendment of Part 22 of the Commission's)	CC Docket No. 93-116
Rules Pertaining to Power Limits for Paging)	
Stations Operating in the 931 MHz Band in)	
the Public Land Mobile Services)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Reply to Comments and Oppositions
submitted by
CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS OF PUERTO RICO, INC.

Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc. ("CCPR"), through its counsel, hereby responds to comments made following CCPR's petition to the Commission to reconsider the Rules adopted in its *Report and Order* (FCC 94-201) in the above-cited dockets released September 9, 1994. CCPR, through its affiliates, is the nonwireline cellular licensee in eleven of the twelve cellular MSAs and RSAs in Puerto Rico and in both RSAs in the United States Virgin Islands.

1. No Petitions, Oppositions, or Comments Filed with the Commission in this Proceeding Contest CCPR's Proposal Regarding CGSA Modifications over Open Water.

Among the recommendations that CCPR made in its *Petition for Reconsideration* filed December 19, 1994, was the suggestion that the Commission not require filings, either notifications on Form 489 or applications on Form 600, for changes to CGSAs made over large bodies of open water (with the exception of the Gulf of Mexico, which is its own cellular market area).¹ No party opposed or otherwise objected to this recommendation. Other petitioners urged the Commission, as CCPR did, to clarify that filings are not required for changes to cell sites that form the internal CGSAs of individual MSAs or RSAs within consolidated, wide-area cellular systems.² Several factors support this policy. It would result in a significant savings of time, effort, and money for licensees and for the Commission by reducing the number of filings that would need to be processed. It would not deprive other licensees of information or notifications necessary for the efficient operation of their systems, because their service areas would not be affected.

These considerations apply even more powerfully to the situation wherein a change to a cell creates a change in a CGSA only over large bodies of open water,

¹ CCPR *Petition for Reconsideration* at 2-4.

² *Joint Petition of AirTouch Communications, Inc. and U S WEST NewVector Group, Inc.* at 12; GTE's *Comments and Opposition* at 6-8.

such as the Atlantic Ocean, the Pacific Ocean, the Caribbean Sea, or the Great Lakes. No other cellular licensee could claim the right to serve such areas over open water, so requiring such filings does not protect the interests of adjacent carriers. The public interest is served because such changes improve the quality of cellular service for subscribers on land. There are no countervailing policy or public interest considerations that would be served by requiring filings. Under the Commission's new Part 22 rules, a modification on land that reduces — even slightly — a CGSA over large bodies of water would require a Form 489 filing. If the proposed CGSA were to cover previously unserved areas over large bodies of open water, a Phase 2 Unserved Area application on Form 600 would be required, taking a minimum of 90 days to obtain the Commission's consent. Either filing represents a waste of administrative and licensee resources without a public service justification.

2. Suggested Rule Changes.

CCPR recommends that the following modifications be made to the Commission's new Part 22 rules:

47 C.F.R. § 22.163(e): The first sentence should be changed as follows:

Licenseses in the Cellular Radiotelephone Service must notify the FCC (FCC Form 489) of any modifications made under this section that cause a change in the Cellular Geographic Service Area boundary (including the removal of a transmitter or transmitters) *except when such change encompasses only water areas (including uninhabited reefs).*

47 C.F.R. § 22.165(e): The third sentence should be changed as follows:

Licensees must notify the FCC (FCC Form 489) of any transmitters added under this section that cause a change in the CGSA boundary *except when such change encompasses only water areas (including uninhabited reefs).*


47 C.F.R. § 22.951: A fifth sentence should be added as follows:

Applications for authority to operate a new cellular system in an unserved area, other than those filed by the licensee of an existing system that abuts the unserved area, must not propose coverage of water areas only (or water areas and uninhabited reefs only), except for unserved areas in the Gulf of Mexico MSA. Licensees in existing systems abutting the Atlantic or Pacific Oceans, the Caribbean Sea, or the Great Lakes are not required to apply for authority to modify their CGSA boundaries when changes would encompass only water areas (including uninhabited reefs).

If the Commission does not agree with CCPR that no filing at all should be required in these circumstances, at the very least it should revise its new rules so that only a notification, on FCC Form 489, would be required for adding area to a CGSA when such area encompasses only portions of large bodies of open water.

The petitions, comments, and replies in this proceeding indicate that the amendments discussed herein would further the public interest.

Respectfully submitted,
CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS OF
PUERTO RICO, INC.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D.H. Pawlik", written over a horizontal line.

Thomas J. Casey
Jay L. Birnbaum
David H. Pawlik
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 371-7000

Its attorneys

January 30, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 30th day of January, 1995, I caused copies of the "Reply to Comments and Oppositions submitted by Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc." to be mailed via first-class postage prepaid mail to the following:

David A. Gross
Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Airtouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Donald M. Mukai
U S West New Vector Group, Inc.
3350 - 161st Avenue, S.E.
Bellevue, Washington 98008

Kathryn A. Zachem
Kenneth D. Patrich
Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn
1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

L. Andrew Tollin
Michael Deuel Sullivan
Robert G. Kirk
Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn
1735 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20006

William B. Barfield
Jim O. Llewellyn
BellSouth Corporation
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3610

Charles P. Featherstun
David G. Richards
BellSouth Corporation
1133 21st Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ron Foster, President
CellTek Corporation
4647T Hwy 280 E., Suite 260
Birmingham, Alabama 35242

John Mitchell
Cellular Paging Systems, Inc.
3122 West Marshall Street
Richmond, Virginia 23230

Timothy J. Fitzgibbon
Thomas F. Bardo
Carter, Ledyard & Milburn
1350 I Street, N.W., Suite 870
Washington, D.C. 20005

David C. Jatlow
Young & Jatlow
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Zachary Len Gibson
America Re Cell
Address Not Included on Petition

Andre J. Lachance
GTE Service Corporation
1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Edwin G. Jones
6445 Prestonshire
Dallas, Texas 75225

Cathleen A. Massey
McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Grier C. Raclin
Francis E. Flether
Anne M. Stamper
Gardner, Carton & Douglas
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 900, East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005

Eric J. Schimmel, Vice President
James Caile, Chairman
Mobile and Personal Communications
800 Section
Telecommunications Industry Association
2500 Wilson Blvd., Suite 300
Arlington, Virginia 22201

M. G. Heavener, President
MTC Communications
Box 2171
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20886


Tom A. Lippo
FACT Law-Business Group
412 First Street, S.E., Suite One
Washington, D.C. 20003

Steve Jones, Proprietor
Sound & Cell
2925 W. Navy Blvd.
Pensecola, FL 32505

Wayne Watts, Vice President & General Attorney
Bruce E. Beard
Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc.
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A
Dallas, Texas 75252

M.C. Stephan
5002 Mussetter Road
Ijamsville, Maryland 21754

Louis Gurman
Doane F. Kiechel
Gurman, Kurtis, Blask & Freedman,
Chartered
1400 16th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036


Callalily E. Norcum

DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the RIPS system.

- ☒ Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.

- o Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the RIPS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed by contacting an Information Technician. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician.